

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JEFFERS P. DICKEY,

No. 2:03-cv-2215-MCE-PAN-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

ORDER

14 MARK CHURRAY,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 On May 19, 2006, defendant filed a Request for
18 Reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's Order filed May 2,
19 2006, ordering sanctions. Plaintiff has filed an Opposition.
20 Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-303(f), a magistrate judge's
21 orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to
22 law." Upon review of the entire file, the Court finds that it
23 does not appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly
24 erroneous or contrary to law.

25 ///

26 ///

1 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration,
2 the Order of the magistrate judge filed May 2, 2006, is affirmed.

3 DATED: June 14, 2006

4
5
6 

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE